

In re: Koch et al.
Serial No.: 10/798,129
Filed: March 11, 2004
Page 4 of 4

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the continued thorough examination of the present application that is reflected in the final Official Action of July 27, 2005. Applicants also appreciate the customer service that was shown by the Examiner in promptly initiating a telephone interview with the undersigned on September 16, 2005, in response to Applicants' Amendment After Final Action of September 8, 2005, and the courtesies extended by the Examiner to the undersigned during the telephone interview.

During the telephone interview, the Examiner indicated that Applicants' Amendment After Final Action of September 8, 2005 would not be entered. However, potential grounds of patentability of the claims were discussed based on the second full paragraph of Page 24 of the specification that states, in part:


...the subscriber may identify the telephone number as the third number called by the subscriber on July 4, 20XX.

The Examiner indicated that he would favorably consider Claim 16 if amended to recite this subject matter. The above constitutes an accurate summary of the substance of the telephone interview between the Examiner and the undersigned on September 16, 2005 pursuant to MPEP §713.04.

The present Second Amendment After Final Action is being filed in response to this telephone interview. In the present Amendment, Claims 1-15, 17-19, 21, 23-24 and 26-28 have been canceled. Moreover, Claim 16 has been amended to recite that the at least one characteristic of the call destination number other than the call destination number itself comprises "a date on which the call destination number was called and a sequence identification of the call destination number on the date", to thereby claim the above-quoted subject matter of the second paragraph of Page 24 of the specification. A typographical error in Claim 16 was also corrected.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request entry of the present Amendment, and allowance of amended Claim 16. Remaining dependent Claims 20, 22 and 25 are patentable at least per the patentability of independent Claim 16 from which they depend. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request entry of the present Amendment, favorable consideration of the pending claims, and allowance of the present application

Respectfully submitted,


Mitchell S. Bigel
Registration No. 29,614
Attorney for Applicants

Customer Number 39072
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
P.O. Box 37428, Raleigh, NC 27627
919-854-1400
919-854-1401 (Fax)